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## The Inverted Index

## Definition: Inverted Index

Given a set of documents and terms that are contained in the documents, an inverted index stores the terms and associated with each term $t$

- the number of documents $f_{t}$ that contain $t$ and
- an ordered list $L(t)$ of documents containing $t$

1 The old night keeper keeps the keep in the town 2 In the big old house in the big old gown
3 The house in the town had the big old keep 4 Where the old night keeper never did sleep 5 The night keeper keeps the keep in the night 6 And keeps in the dark and sleeps in the light
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| term $t$ | $f_{t}$ | $L(t)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | 1 | $[6]$ |
| big | 2 | $[2,3]$ |
| dark | 1 | $[6]$ |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| had | 1 | $[3]$ |
| house | 2 | $[2,3]$ |
| in | 5 | $[1,2,3,5,6]$ |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |

## The Inverted Index

## Definition: Inverted Index

Given a set of documents and terms that are contained in the documents, an inverted index stores the terms and associated with each term $t$

- the number of documents $f_{t}$ that contain $t$ and
- an ordered list $L(t)$ of documents containing $t$

1 The old night keeper keeps the keep in the town 2 In the big old house in the big old gown
3 The house in the town had the big old keep 4 Where the old night keeper never did sleep 5 The night keeper keeps the keep in the night 6 And keeps in the dark and sleeps in the light

| term $t$ | $f_{t}$ | $L(t)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | 1 | $[6]$ |
| big | 2 | $[2,3]$ |
| dark | 1 | $[6]$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| had | 1 | $[3]$ |
| house | 2 | $[2,3]$ |
| in | 5 | $[1,2,3,5,6]$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## The Inverted Index: Queries

## Conjunctive Queries
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## Disjunctive Queries

- Given two lists $M$ and $N$, return all documents contained in either list: $M \cup N$


## Phrase Queries

- Given two terms $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, return all documents containing $t_{1} t_{2}$ (i) all previous discussed indices can do so

1 The old night keeper keeps the keep in the town 2 In the big old house in the big old gown 3 The house in the town had the big old keep 4 Where the old night keeper never did sleep 5 The night keeper keeps the keep in the night 6 And keeps in the dark and sleeps in the light

| term $t$ | $f_{t}$ | $L(t)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | 1 | $[6]$ |
| big | 2 | $[2,3]$ |
| dark | 1 | $[6]$ |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| had | 1 | $[3]$ |
| house | 2 | $[2,3]$ |
| in | 5 | $[1,2,3,5,6]$ |

## Inverted Index: Representing the Terms (1/2)

- terms can be represented using tries
- in each leaf, store pointer to list for term
- simple representation
- easy to add and remove terms



## Inverted Index: Representing the Terms (2/2)

- use multiplicative hash function
- $h(t[1] \ldots t[\ell])=\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_{i} \cdot t[i]\right) \bmod p\right) \bmod m$
- for prime $p<m$ and
- fixed random integers $a_{i} \in[1, p]$
- good worst cast guarantee
- $\operatorname{Prob}[h(x)=h(y)]=O(1 / m)$ for $x \neq y$


## Inverted Index: Document Lists

- document ids are sorted
- if ids are in $[1, U]$, storing them requires $\lceil\lg U\rceil$ bits per id


## Binary Codes

- an integer $x$ can be represented as binary $(x)_{2}$
- for fast access, all binary representations must have the same width


## Now

- different ideas on how to better store ids
- not all ideas work with all algorithms
- different space usage and complexity


## Difference Encoding

- given a document list $N=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{|N|}\right]$
- the document ids are sorted: $d_{1}<\cdots<d_{|N|}$
- store first id
- represent other ids by difference: $\delta_{i}=d_{i}-d_{i-1}$


## Definition: $\triangle$-Encoding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A } \Delta \text {-encoded document list } N=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{|N|}\right] \text { is } \\
& N=\left[d_{1}, d_{2}-d_{1}, \ldots, d_{|N|}-d_{|N-1|}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Definition: $\triangle$-Encoding

A $\triangle$-encoded document list $N=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{|N|}\right]$ is $N=\left[d_{1}, d_{2}-d_{1}, \ldots, d_{|N|}-d_{|N-1|}\right]$

- can this be compressed further?
- accessing id requires scanning


## Unary Encoding

## Definition: Unary Codes

Given an integer $x>0$, its unary code $(x)_{1}$ is $1^{x-1} 0$

- $\left|(x)_{1}\right|=x$ bits
- encoded integers can be accessed using rank and select queries
- if 0 has to be encoded, all codes require an additional bit
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Given an integer $x>0$, its unary code $(x)_{1}$ is $1^{x-1} 0$

- $\left|(x)_{1}\right|=x$ bits
- encoded integers can be accessed using rank and select queries
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- $N=[4,11,12,30,42,54]$
$\Delta$-encoded
- $N=[4,7,1,18,12,12]$
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## Ternary Encoding

## Definition: Ternary Codes

Given an integer $x>0$, represent $x-1$ in ternary using

- 00 to represent 0
- 01 to represent 1
- 10 to represent 2
and append 11 to each code to obtain its ternary code $(x)_{3}$
- $\left|(x)_{3}\right|=2\left\lfloor\lg _{3}(x-1)\right\rfloor+2$


## Ternary Encoding

## Definition: Ternary Codes

Given an integer $x>0$, represent $x-1$ in ternary using

- 00 to represent 0
- 01 to represent 1
- 10 to represent 2
and append 11 to each code to obtain its ternary code $(x)_{3}$
- $\left|(x)_{3}\right|=2\left\lfloor\lg _{3}(x-1)\right\rfloor+2$

Just ids:

- $N=[4,11,12,30,42,54]$
$\Delta$-encoded
- $N=[4,7,1,18,12,12]$

Unary Codes:

- $N=\left[1110111111001^{17} 01^{11} 0111111111110\right]$

Ternary Codes:

- $N=[0100111000110001101011$
$0100101101001011]$


## Fibonacci Encoding

## Lemma: Zeckendorf's Theorem

Let $f_{i}$ be the $i$-th Fibonacci number, then each integer $x>0$ can be represented as

$$
n=\sum_{i=2}^{k} c_{i} f_{i}
$$

with $c_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $c_{i}+c_{i+1}<2$

## Definition: Fibonacci Code

Given an integer $x>0$ use the sequence of $c_{i}$ 's followed by a 1 as its Fibonacci code $(x)_{\phi}$
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- 11 does not occur in any sequence
- to compute find largest Fibonacci number $f_{i} \leq x$ and repeat process for $x-f_{i}$
- Fibonacci codes are smaller than ternary codes for smaller integers


## Fibonacci Encoding

## Lemma: Zeckendorf's Theorem

Let $f_{i}$ be the $i$-th Fibonacci number, then each integer $x>0$ can be represented as

$$
n=\sum_{i=2}^{k} c_{i} f_{i}
$$

with $c_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $c_{i}+c_{i+1}<2$

## Definition: Fibonacci Code

Given an integer $x>0$ use the sequence of $c_{i}$ 's followed by a 1 as its Fibonacci code $(x)_{\phi}$

- 11 does not occur in any sequence
- to compute find largest Fibonacci number $f_{i} \leq x$ and repeat process for $x-f_{i}$
- Fibonacci codes are smaller than ternary codes for smaller integers
- $f_{2}=1, f_{3}=2, f_{4}=3, f_{5}=5, f_{6}=8, f_{7}=13$
- 4: $f_{2}+f_{4}=1011$
- 7: $f_{3}+f_{5}=01011$
- $1: f_{2}=11$
- 18: $f_{5}+f_{7}=0001011$
- 12: $f_{2}+f_{4}+f_{6}=101011$


## Elias- $\gamma$-Encoding [Eli75]

## Definition: Elias- $\gamma$-Code

Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias-gamma-code $(x)_{\gamma}$ is

$$
(x)_{\gamma}=0^{\lfloor\lg x\rfloor}(x)_{2}
$$

- $\left|(x)_{\gamma}\right|=2\lfloor\lg x\rfloor+1$ bit
- first part gives length of binary representation
- first bit of $(x)_{2}$ is one bit
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## Definition: Elias- $\gamma$-Code

Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias-gamma-code $(x)_{\gamma}$ is

$$
(x)_{\gamma}=0^{\lfloor\lg x\rfloor}(x)_{2}
$$

- $\left|(x)_{\gamma}\right|=2\lfloor\lg x\rfloor+1$ bit
- first part gives length of binary representation
- first bit of $(x)_{2}$ is one bit
- 4: 00100
- 7: 00111
- 1: 1
- 18: 000010010
- 12: 0001000


## Elias- $\delta$-Encoding [Eli75]

## Definition: Elias- $\delta$-Code

Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias- $\delta$-code $(x)_{\delta}$ is

$$
(x)_{\delta}=(\lfloor\lg x\rfloor+1)_{\gamma}(x)_{2}\left[2 . .\left|(x)_{2}\right|\right]
$$

- encode length of binary representation using Elias- $\gamma$ code
- first bit of binary representation not required anymore
- $\left|(x)_{\delta}\right|=2\lfloor\lg (\lfloor\lg x\rfloor+1)\rfloor+1+\lfloor\lg x\rfloor$ bits
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## Definition: Elias- $\delta$-Code
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## Elias- $\gamma$

- 4: 00100
- 7: 00111
- 1: 1
- 18: 000010010
- 12: 0001000


## Elias- $\delta$

- 4: 01100
- 7: 01111
- $1: 1$
- 18: 001010010
- 12: 00100100


## Hands-on Elias-Encoding

## Definition: Elias- $\delta$-Code
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Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias-gamma-code $(x)_{\gamma}$ is

$$
(x)_{\gamma}=0^{\lfloor\lg x\rfloor}(x)_{2}
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## Exercise 1

Calculate the Elias- $\gamma$ and Elias- $\delta$ encoding of 42.

## Exercise 2

Which integer is represented by the following Elias- $\delta$ code?

001010111

## Hands-on Elias-Encoding

## Definition: Elias- $\delta$-Code

Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias- $\delta$-code $(x)_{\delta}$ is

$$
(x)_{\delta}=(\lfloor\lg x\rfloor+1)_{\gamma}(x)_{2}\left[2 . .\left|(x)_{2}\right|\right]
$$

## Definition: Elias- $\gamma$-Code

Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias-gamma-code $(x)_{\gamma}$ is

$$
(x)_{\gamma}=0^{\lfloor\lg x\rfloor}(x)_{2}
$$

## Exercise 1

Calculate the Elias- $\gamma$ and Elias- $\delta$ encoding of 42.

- 00000101010
- 0011001010


## Exercise 2

Which integer is represented by the following Elias- $\delta$ code?

001010111

## Hands-on Elias-Encoding

## Definition: Elias- $\delta$-Code

Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias- $\delta$-code $(x)_{\delta}$ is

$$
(x)_{\delta}=(\lfloor\lg x\rfloor+1)_{\gamma}(x)_{2}\left[2 . .\left|(x)_{2}\right|\right]
$$

## Definition: Elias- $\gamma$-Code

Given an integer $x>0$, its Elias-gamma-code $(x)_{\gamma}$ is

$$
(x)_{\gamma}=0^{\lfloor\lg x\rfloor}(x)_{2}
$$

## Exercise 1

Calculate the Elias- $\gamma$ and Elias- $\delta$ encoding of 42.

- 00000101010
- 0011001010


## Exercise 2

Which integer is represented by the following Elias- $\delta$ code?

$$
001010111 \quad \rightarrow \quad 23
$$

## Golomb Encoding [Gol66]

## Definition: Golomb Code

Given an integer $x>0$ and a constant $b>0$, the
Golomb code consists of

- $q=\left\lfloor\frac{x}{b}\right\rfloor$
- $r=x-q b=x \% b$
- $c=\lceil\lg b\rceil$
with

$$
(x)_{\mathrm{Gol}(b)}=(q)_{1}(r)_{2}
$$

where $(r)_{2}$ depends on its size

- $r<2^{\lfloor\lg b\rfloor-1}: r$ requires $\lfloor\lg b\rfloor$ bits and starts with a 0
- $r \geq 2^{\lfloor\lg b\rfloor-1}: r$ requires $\lceil\lg b\rceil$ bits and starts with a 1 and it encodes $r-2^{\lfloor\lg b\rfloor-1}$
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## Golomb Encoding [Gol66]

## Definition: Golomb Code

Given an integer $x>0$ and a constant $b>0$, the Golomb code consists of

- $q=\left\lfloor\frac{x}{b}\right\rfloor$
- $r=x-q b=x \% b$
- $c=\lceil\lg b\rceil$
with

$$
(x)_{\mathrm{Gol}(b)}=(q)_{1}(r)_{2}
$$

where $(r)_{2}$ depends on its size

- $r<2^{\lfloor\lg b\rfloor-1}: r$ requires $\lfloor\lg b\rfloor$ bits and starts with a 0
- $r \geq 2\lfloor\lg b\rfloor-1: r$ requires $\lceil\lg b\rceil$ bits and starts with a 1 and it encodes $r-2^{\lfloor\lg b\rfloor-1}$
- $b$ has to be fixed for all codes
- still variable length
- for $b=5$, there are 4 remainders:
$00,01,100,101$, and 110
- $2^{\lfloor\lg 5\rfloor-1}=2$
- $0,1<2$ : 00 and 01 require 2 bits
- $2,3,4 \geq 2$ : require 3 bits and encode $0,1,2$ starting with 1


## Comparison of Codes (1/2)



## Comparison of Codes (2/2)



## Back to Queries: Conjunctive Queries

## Task

- given terms $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$
- intersect $L\left(t_{1}\right) \cap L\left(t_{2}\right) \cap \cdots \cap L\left(t_{k}\right)$
- pairwise intersection usually works best
- intersection of two lists is of interest
- start with two shortest and continue like that
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- intersection of two lists is of interest
- start with two shortest and continue like that


## Setting

- two lists $M$ and $N$ with
- $|M|=m$ and $|N|=n$ and
- $m \leq n$
- different algorithms to intersect lists
- assuming lists are $\Delta$ encoded


## Naive Scanning

## Zipper

- scan both lists as in binary merging
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## Naive Scanning

## Zipper

- scan both lists as in binary merging


## Lemma: Running Time Zipper

Intersecting two sorted lists of sizes $m$ and $n$ using zipper requires $O(m+n)$ time.

## Proof (Sketch)

- compare entries until one list is empty
- if $\max \{i d: i d \in N\}<$ some element in $M$, then all elements in $N$ are compared
- resulting in $O(n+m)$ time
- works well with $\Delta$-encoding
- in real implementations zipping is good until $n>20 m$ [BS05]
- example on the board
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## Binary Search (2/2)

## Double Binary Search

- let $p_{m}=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$
- search for $M\left[p_{m}\right]$ in $N$ using binary search
- let result be position $p_{n}$
- if $M\left[p_{m}\right]=N\left[p_{n}\right]$ add $M\left[p_{m}\right]$ to result
- continue recursively by intersecting
- $M\left[1, p_{m}\right] \cap N\left[1, p_{n}\right]$ and
- $M\left[1+p_{m},|M|\right] \cap N\left[1+p_{n},|N|\right]$
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## Double Binary Search

- let $p_{m}=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$
- search for $M\left[p_{m}\right]$ in $N$ using binary search
- let result be position $p_{n}$
- if $M\left[p_{m}\right]=N\left[p_{n}\right]$ add $M\left[p_{m}\right]$ to result
- continue recursively by intersecting
- $M\left[1, p_{m}\right] \cap N\left[1, p_{n}\right]$ and
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## Lemma: Running Time Double Binary Search

Intersecting two sorted lists of sizes $m$ and $n$ using a double binary search requires $O\left(m \lg \frac{n}{m}\right)$ time.

## Proof (Sketch)

- look at running time of binary search at each recursion depth
- depth 0: Ign
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- works well if lists do not fit into main memory
- still not working with $\Delta$-encoding
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## Skipper [MZ96]

- scan top-level and
- go down in $\Delta$-encoded list as soon as possible
- avoids complex binary search control structure
- example on board


## Intersection with Randomized Inverted Indices [ST07]

- assume ids are in $[0, U)$ with $U=2^{2 u}$
- ids have to be random (i) more details in paper
- choose tuning parameter $B$ (i) determine average bucket size
- given a list $N=\left[d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right]$ and $k_{N}=\left\lceil\lg \frac{U B}{n}\right\rceil$
- per list, represent ids in
- buckets $b_{i}^{N}$ containing
- partial ids $\left\{d_{j} \bmod 2^{k_{N}}: d_{j} / 2^{k_{N}}=i\right\}$
- due to randomization, average bucket size is between $B / 2$ and $B$
- elements in buckets can be $\Delta$-encoded
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## Intersection

- for each element $M[i]$ find bucket of $N$
- can be same bucket as for $M[i-1]$, if so, continue at position of $M[i-1]$ in bucket
(i) continuing is important
- scan bucket until element $\geq M[i]$ is found
- if equal, output $M[i]$


## Lemma: Running Time

Intersecting two sorted lists of sizes $m$ and $n$ using a randomized inverted indices requires
$O(m+\min \{n, B m\})$ time.

## Conclusion and Outlook

## This Lecture

- inverted index
- space efficient encodings of document lists
- efficient intersection algorithms

Linear Time Construction


## Conclusion and Outlook

## This Lecture

- inverted index
- space efficient encodings of document lists
- efficient intersection algorithms


## Next Lecture

- suffix array (full-text index)

Linear Time Construction
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